



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,905	08/07/2003	Herbert Wolf	WOLF13	7837	
1444 7.	590 06/01/2004		EXAMINER		
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			PEZZLO, BENJAMIN A		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20001-5303		3683	3683	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/635,905	WOLF ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Benjamin A Pezzlo	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 May 2004</u> .							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-7,11 and 12 is/are rejected.							
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:						
	ion Summary Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 24052004					

Application/Control Number: 10/635,905

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 6 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 6 and 8 are substantially duplicates.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peddinghaus (US 3917244).

Peddinghaus discloses an extension spring strut comprising a pre-loaded helical extension spring 5 having a first end, and a second end; a damper 28, which is disposed inside the extension spring which has a housing 25 that is supported on the first end of the extension spring and is filled with a damping fluid, and which has a damping piston 27 disposed in the housing; and which has a piston rod 16 that is mounted on the damping piston and extended from the housing and movable over a damping range the extension spring and an operating element 3 which comprises an actuating tappet 15 which is connected with the second end of the extension spring, and which is movable over a total range c that includes the damping range a of the piston rod and a no-load range b of the actuating tappet.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,905

Art Unit: 3683

Re claim 2, see the Fig.

Re claim 3, see guide tube 7.

Re claim 4, see the Fig.

Re claim 7, see the abstract line 2.

Re claim 11, see the Fig.

Re claim 12, see the abstract line 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peddinghaus (US 3917244).

MPEP 2144.04.V.D. states that adjustment where needed is not a patentable advance. Here adjustment of the device is needed to accommodate various deck configurations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains at the time the invention was made to have configured the device of Peddinghaus for longitudinal adjustment.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8-10 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,905

Art Unit: 3683

Page 4

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Avner and Kopec et al. disclose related devices.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Burger of the first of the firs

Art Unit: 3683

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin A Pezzlo whose telephone number is (703) 306-4617. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin De 336

Benjamin A Pezzlo Examiner Art Unit 3683

BAP May 24, 2004